

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,890		11/18/2003	Edgar A. Dallas	048674-0310 3688	
26371	7590	09/20/2005		EXAMINER	
FOLEY &			HAMILTON, ISAAC N		
777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 3800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5308				3724	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٨		1000				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/715,890	DALLAS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Isaac N. Hamilton	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 J	uly 2005.					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8,9,12-14,18,19,22-2</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-5,10,15-17,20,21,26,28,30 and 33</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 6,7,11,27 and 29 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	25,31,32 and 34 is/are withdrawn is/are rejected.	from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 05 July 2005 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Application in the second in the secon	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/715,890 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 8, 9, 18, 25 are hereby withdrawn from consideration due to the election of species in figures 3A-3C in the response filed 04/08/05. Newly added figure 3D shows a new species that corresponds to claims 8, 9, 18 and 25, which was not elected in the response.

## **Drawings**

2. The drawings were received on 07/05/05. These drawings are acceptable.

## Claim Objections

3. Objections to the claims are hereby withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 33 recites the limitation "the second retainer" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 10/715,890

Art Unit: 3724

8. Claim 1-5, 10, 16, 17, 20, 21, 26, 28, 30 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Durcho (5,133,138). Durcho discloses first magnet having a first polarity 60; primary tool 12; second magnet having a second polarity 36; secondary tool 10; it is inherent that one of the elements 36, 60 has a positive polarity and the other has a negative polarity; receptacle 48, 50; handle member is the exterior surface of element 12; the heel 12 is inherently a hand tool because it can be used to dig, or to perforate materials such as plastic and paper; magnetic force is inherent between two magnets.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Durcho. Durcho 10. discloses the claimed invention except for a minimum magnetic force of 4000 gauss. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a minimum force of 400 gauss, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. It would have been obvious to provide a minimum magnetic force of 4000 gauss in order to prevent the secondary and primary tool from coming out of engagement while assembling the tools together.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 3724

Claims 6, 7, 11, 27 and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Durcho teaches a primary tool and a secondary tool secured together via magnets having different polarity, however, Durcho does not teach the use of magnets to secure cutting tools together. It would not have been obvious to combine the references with other prior art teaching to meet the claim limitations.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,890 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac Hamilton whose telephone number is 571-272-4509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 8am and 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ΙΗ

September 16, 2005

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner

**Group 3700**